

THE GOOD, THE BAD, THE UGLY TRUTH

*Know the Ballot Initiatives Vying for your Pot of Gold, **Your Vote!***

THE GOOD

- (201) Prohibits Ranked Choice Voting.
- (202) Ballot Access through Caucus and Assembly preserved, also by petition.
- (278) Closed Primaries. Partisan Primary Ballots chosen by Plurality vote.

THE BAD

- Ranked Choice Voting or Instant Runoff Elections.
- Petition-Only Access to Primary Ballot.
- All-Candidate, All-Party, All-Voters “Jungle Primary.”

THE UGLY TRUTH

85% of Jurisdictions across US that have tried RCV over last 100 yrs later REPEAL IT!!!

SURPRISE OUTCOMES OF

- Ballots are trashed for not following the rules
- “Over” of “Under” votes are “exhausted”/ TRASHED
- Elderly, Low income, Minorities, ESL fall victim
- Candidates chosen by false or forced “majority”
- Complicated race can take days or weeks to call

RANKED CHOICE VOTING

- RCV cannot be recounted, repeated or audited
- Races counted By Machine. No Hand Count.
- Winners lose, Losers win
- Creates more problems than it solves
- Voters lose confidence
- Voters quit voting altogether

WHAT KENT THIRY'S COLORADO ELECTION MODERNIZATION MEANS TO YOU

The Bait and Switch of Election Reform; What You're Really Getting!

- Overturns 250 years of US Election Law and 150 years of CO Law. WE HAVE NEVER SEEN THIS!
- Continuation of 2016 Props 107/108 Open Primaries
- Ends the Party System, the Party Platform and Party Nomination. Ends the marketplace of ideas.
- Ushers in "Pay to Play" system where only wealthy or those they control will participate.
- Joe the Plummer need not apply.
- Creates uniparty, hivemind candidate. Less diverse opinion.
- Designed to silence the "fringe" points of view: Pro-Life, Pro-Gun, Pro-Border, America First voices.
- Top four to five candidates from each Primary Race advance to the General. Possibly all one party.
- All voters may sign all petitions; All voters vote in Primary races.
- Some are constitutional changes, requiring **amendment** to repeal them.

What's wrong with Ranked-Choice Voting?

With RCV, every vote does not count.

One of the greatest problems with RCV is “ballot exhaustion”—when a ballot is cast but does not count toward the end election result. This occurs when a voter overvotes, undervotes, or only ranks candidates that are no longer in contention on their ballot.

Ballot exhaustion leaves voters and voices uncounted—ballots are literally thrown in the trash because the RCV voting process renders their votes meaningless.

RCV diminishes voter confidence.

RCV is overly complicated and confusing. Additionally, it requires voters to make decisions about all the candidates on the ballot, forcing them to cast their vote for candidates that they disapprove of or lack sufficient information about.

RCV threatens fast and accurate ballot counting.

With multiple runoffs and choice rankings, the RCV process leads to slower election results and increased irregularities.

~~ONE PERSON
ONE VOTE~~



Ranked-choice voting undermines voter confidence and leads to slower election results and increased irregularities.

EVERYTHING YOU WERE TOLD ABOUT RANKED CHOICE VOTING WAS A LIE

ALSO KNOWN AS: KNOWN ALIASES
PROPORTIONAL VOTING, PREFERENTIAL VOTING, INSTANT RUNOFF, SINGLE TRANSFERABLE VOTING, ALTERNATIVE VOTE

BALLOT SAMPLES

Rank ballot by oval marks

Instructions: Fill in the first column oval by your first choice, second column oval by your second choice, etc.

1	2	3	Joe Smith
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Henry Ford
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Jane Doe
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Fred Rubble
<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Mary Hill

Ovals

Rank ballot by written names

Instructions: List the candidates in the order of your preference.

1. Ford
2. Hill
3. Doe
4. Rubble
5. Smith

Names

Rank any number of options in your order of preference.

- Joe Smith
- 1 John Citizen
- 3 Jane Doe
- Fred Rubble
- 2 Mary Hill

Numbers

LIES YOU WERE TOLD:

1. **IT WILL SAVE MONEY**
IT WILL NOT SAVE ANY MONEY. THIS IS COMPLETELY FALSE. IF ANYTHING, NEW SOFTWARE MAY BE REQUIRED
2. **IT'S EASY TO UNDERSTAND**
IT IS MORE CONVOLUTED AND VOTERS MAY EXHAUST THEIR BALLOT.
3. **RCV IMPROVES REPRESENTATION**
HOW IS THAT POSSIBLE? DOES IT RECRUIT BETTER CANDIDATES? NO.
4. **RCV WILL CREATE HIGHER TURNOUT**
NO IT WILL NOT. TURNOUT WOULD NOT BE AFFECTED. PEOPLE WHO CARE WILL VOTE.
5. **IT'S EQUITABLE & PROMOTES GENDER EQUALITY**
WHAT? THAT IS YOUR IMAGINATION. YOU ARE DELUSIONAL. COME BACK TO REALITY.



What's wrong with Ranked-Choice Voting?

With RCV, every vote does not count.

One of the greatest problems with RCV is “ballot exhaustion”—when a ballot is cast but does not count toward the end election result. This occurs when a voter overvotes, undervotes, or only ranks candidates that are no longer in contention on their ballot.

Ballot exhaustion leaves voters and voices uncounted—ballots are literally thrown in the trash because the RCV voting process renders their votes meaningless.

RCV diminishes voter confidence.

RCV is overly complicated and confusing. Additionally, it requires voters to make decisions about all the candidates on the ballot, forcing them to cast their vote for candidates that they disapprove of or lack sufficient information about.

RCV threatens fast and accurate ballot counting.

With multiple runoffs and choice rankings, the RCV process leads to slower election results and increased irregularities.

~~ONE PERSON
ONE VOTE~~



Ranked-choice voting undermines voter confidence and leads to slower election results and increased irregularities.